

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION 1	NO. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/855,723		05/16/2001	Hirotaka Nakano	WN-2338	9420		
466	7590	01/18/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
YOUNG	G & THOM	PSON	DIMYAN,	DIMYAN, MAGID Y			
745 SOU 2ND FLO	JTH 23RD S' OOR	TREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
ARLING	GTON, VA	22202	2825				
			DATE MAILED: 01/18/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
<u></u>		09/855,72		NAKANO ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Magid Y D	imvan	2825					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication		<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	idress				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the results of the period for reply will.	DN. R 1.136(a). In no eve 1. a reply within the statu eriod will apply and wi tatute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	16 Docombor 2	204						
·			<del></del>						
'	<del></del>								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>2-26</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) <u>2-6 and 8-26</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from co							
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by th			-	` '				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachmen			_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	n.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔲 Inform	r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Acknowledgement

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Amendments to the Claims, and to the Remarks, both filed on 16 December 2004. It is also acknowledged that the Applicants have amended the claims to overcome the objections cited by the Examiner in the Office Action. Thus claims 2 – 6 and 8 – 26 are allowable. However, the Applicants arguments pertaining to the rejection of claim 7 are not persuasive, for the reasons indicated below. Claims 2 – 26 remain pending in this Application.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,594,799 to Robertson et al. (hereinafter, "Robertson").
- 4. Referring to claim 7, Robertson discloses a method and system of manufacturing a semiconductor IC comprising a data-managing center having a database, a designing center, and a manufacturing center (see Fig. 2; col. 6. lines 20 - 36; col. 9, lines 42 - 50), which are connected via the Internet (see again Fig. 2), wherein said designing center and said manufacturing center use information contained in the database of the data-managing center (Fig. 2; col. 12, lines 4 – 34; col. 13, lines 1 - 45), performs two-way communication with said client (Fig. 2), and thereby progress the production of the IC (see Figs. 2 -10). wherein said designing center and said manufacturing center report work progress status information to said data-managing center voluntarily or in response to inquiry received from said data-managing center (see Fig. 3 – 10; col. 9, line 40 - col. 10, line 62). See also col. 15, II. 21 - 38, which discloses that a user can perform searches and queries and receives results regarding work progress in combination with other information as part of the user profile data. Thus, Robertson cites all the claimed elements.

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2 - 6 and 8 - 26 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/855,723

Page 4

Art Unit: 2825

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the reasons for allowing these claims are given in the previous Office Action.

## Response to Remarks

- 7. Applicant's Remarks filed 16 December 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicants allege that Robertson does not teach the element of the manufacturing center reporting work progress information to the data-managing center. As indicated above, the required information is indeed made available to the user (see col. 15, II. 21 38; see also Fig. 6, blocks 616, 618; Fig. 7, blocks 716, 718). As for the Applicants' remarks regarding to the definition of when "work progress" commences, it is the Examiner's contention that work commences at the inception of any project, including design, manufacture, etc. Robertson teaches that any information a user is interested in obtaining at any time during a project can be made available to the user. See again Figs. 6 10. Thus, for these reasons the Examiner maintains the rejection of claim 7.
- 8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Magid Y Dimyan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1889. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

myd

13 January 2004

A. M. Thompson

Primary Examiner

Magid Y Dimyan

Examiner Art Unit 2825

Technology Center 2800